UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,654	12/31/2003	Robert B. Staszewski	TI-35744	1713
	7590 04/10/200 UMENTS INCORPOI	EXAMINER		
POBOX 6554		PHU, PHUONG M		
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,654	STASZEWSKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong Phu	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-11,16,19,20 and 22-31 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-11,16,19,20,27,28 and 31 is/are a 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-26,29 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and,	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/749,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 03/26/09. Accordingly, claims 2-11, 16, 19, 20 and 22-31 are currently pending; and claims 1, 12-15, 17, 18, 21 and 32 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 22-26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

-Claims 22, 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not failing within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101. must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Process' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter *nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps*, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process

The specific link to the Processes memo is http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section 101 05 15 2008.pdf

Claim 22 claims a method comprising steps "providing a first signal...", "providing a second digital signal...", "predistorting...", "generating an analog signal...", processing the analog signal..." and so on. These steps do not positively tie to another statutory category, e.g. an electronic device, an electronic components, etc., that accomplishes the steps. The claimed steps are of sufficient breadth that it would be reasonably interpreted as steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without machine. In fact, in light of specification, page 19, lines 23-31, of instant application, these steps can be merely implemented with software, being non-statutory.

Similarly, claim 23 claims a method comprising steps "providing a first signal...", "providing a second digital signal...", "predistorting...", "generating an analog signal...", processing the analog signal..." and so on. These steps do not positively tie to another statutory

Art Unit: 2611

category, e.g. an electronic device, an electronic components, etc., that accomplishes the steps. The claimed steps are of sufficient breadth that it would be reasonably interpreted as steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without machine. In fact, in light of specification, page 19, lines 23-31, of instant application, these steps can be merely implemented with software, being non- statutory.

Similarly, claim 29 claims a method comprising steps "providing a first signal...", "providing a second digital signal...", "predistorting...", "generating an analog signal...", processing the analog signal..." and so on. These steps do not positively tie to another statutory category, e.g. an electronic device, an electronic components, etc., that accomplishes the steps. The claimed steps are of sufficient breadth that it would be reasonably interpreted as steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without machine. In fact, in light of specification, page 19, lines 23-31, of instant application, these steps can be merely implemented with software, being non- statutory.

-Claims 24, 25, 26 and 30 are directed to an embodiment comprising "means for producing a digital input", "means for predistorting...", "means for converting...", "means for generating...", "means for analyzing..." and so on, which, in light of specification page 19, lines 23-31, of instant application, can be referred merely to software. In another word, claims 24, 25, 26 and 30 are directed to entirely a software embodiment, being a non-statutory subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-11, 16, 19, 20, 27, 28 and 31 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/26/09 have been fully considered.

As results, claims 2-11, 16, 19, 20, 27, 28 and 31 are indicated allowable set forth above.

Claims 22-26, 29 and 30, however, upon reconsideration, are deemed not allowable because of reasons set forth above in this Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,654 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611

/Phuong Phu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611